

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/311,890	05/14/1999	JAMES H. SABRY	19681-2	1859
22434	7590 10/22/2002			
	EAVER & THOMAS LL	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 778 BERKELEY, CA 94704-0778			MARSCHEL, ARDIN H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	
			DATE MAILED: 10/22/2002	27

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/311,890

Sabry et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner Ardin Marschel

Art Unit **1631**



	and the sever about with the correspondence address
	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	T TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a).	In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply with	nin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cau	ply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. use the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 19, 2	2002
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) X This action	ction is non-final.
3) \square Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ partial$	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) X Claim(s) 1-14, 16-18, and 29-44	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideratio
5)	
	is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s)	
8) Claims	
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
·	are an accepted or bi objected to by the Examiner.
	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examine
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exar	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ave been received.
	ave been received in Application No
	documents have been received in this National Stage
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of t	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	cic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) The translation of the foreign language provisio	nal application has been received.
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	cic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) (3. sheet)	6) Other:

The request, filed 7/19/02, for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/311,890 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Applicants' arguments, filed 7/19/02, have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

PRIOR ART REJECTIONS:

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103, the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of potential 35

Art Unit: 1631 - 3 -Serial No. 09/311,890 U.S.C. § 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). Claims 1-6, 8-14, 16-18, 40, and 42-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hemstreet, III et al.(P/N 5,733,721); taken in view of Sutherland et al.(P/N4,818,710). This rejection is reiterated from the previous office action, mailed 3/26/02, as still be applicable due to the light guide in Sutherland et al. cites an oil assemble which is deemed a light guide as instantly claimed. Claims 1-14, 16-18, and 29-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hemstreet, III et al.(P/N 5,733,721); taken in view of Sutherland et al.(P/N 4,818,710); taken further in view of either of Balch(P/N 6,083,763) or In re Venner (120 USPQ 193); all before taken in view of Rutenberg et al.(P/N 5,287,272) for barcode practice. This rejection is reiterated from the previous office action, mailed 3/26/02, as still be applicable due to the light guide in Sutherland et al. cites an oil assemble which is deemed a light guide as instantly claimed. No claim is allowed. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located The faxing of such papers must conform with in Crystal Mall 1. the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center

Art Unit: 1631 - 4 -Serial No. 09/311,890 number is either (703)308-4242 or (703)305-3014. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703)308-3894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (703)308-4028. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Patent Analyst, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (703)305-3524 or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196. October 18, 2002 PRIMARY EXAMINER